

**App. No. 10/648,581**  
**Attorney Docket 3158.2.2 NP**

**Amendments to the Drawings**

**None**

App. No. 10/648,581  
Attorney Docket 3158.2.2 NP

## Remarks

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the Written Office Action.

With regards the substantive portion of the Written Office Action, the Office Action states that the present application contains claims directed to two patentably distinct species of the claimed invention, namely Figures 1 – 5 and Figure 6. The Office Action requires Applicant to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held allowable.

Applicant elects, with traverse, Figures 1 – 5. The following claims are listed as readable thereon: Claims 1 – 6, 8 – 21, and 23 – 37 (all presented claims minus 7 and 22).

Applicant presents the following arguments explaining why Figures 1 – 6 are a single species. As disclosed in lines 11 – 15 of page 8 of the present application, Figure 6 discloses a “tapered enclosure 36 having side walls 38 that taper inwardly in both frontal and lateral directions from a top 40 of the enclosure to a bottom 42 of the enclosure. In this aspect, a plurality of storage tubes 44 can [be] disposed in the tapered enclosure ....” Enclosing the invention is not sufficiently different to trigger a patently distinct species of the invention as required in MPEP 806.04.

App. No. 10/648,581  
Attorney Docket 3158.2.2 NP

For these reasons, it is believed that Applicant has addressed each of the issues pointed out by the Examiner in the Office Action. Should the Examiner wish to discuss any matter pertaining to the present application, Applicant invites the Examiner to do so by telephone conference.

Respectfully Submitted,



Michael W. Starkweather  
Registration No. 34,441  
Attorney for Applicant

Date: *April 7, 2005*

Michael W. Starkweather  
Starkweather and Associates  
9035 South 1300 East  
Suite 200  
Sandy, Utah 84094  
Telephone: 801/272-8368